

Effect of nutrient enrichment and elevated CO₂ partial pressure on growth rate of Atlantic scleractinian coral *Acropora cervicornis*

D. Abigail Renegar*, Bernhard M. Riegl

National Coral Reef Institute, Nova Southeastern University Oceanographic Center, 8000 N. Ocean Drive, Dania, Florida 33004, USA

ABSTRACT: The growth rate of *Acropora cervicornis* branch tips maintained in the laboratory was measured before, during, and after exposure to elevated nitrate (5 and 10 μM NO_3^-), phosphate (2 and 4 μM P-PO_4^3) and/or pCO_2 (CO_2 ~700 to 800 μatm). The effect of increased pCO_2 was greater than that of nutrient enrichment alone. High concentrations of nitrate or phosphate resulted in significant decreases in growth rate, in both the presence and absence of increased pCO_2 . The effect of nitrate and phosphate enrichment combined was additive or antagonistic relative to nutrient concentration and pCO_2 level. Growth rate recovery was greater after exposure to increased nutrients or CO_2 compared to increased nutrients and CO_2 . If these results accurately predict coral response in the natural environment, it is reasonable to speculate that the survival and reef-building potential of this species will be significantly negatively impacted by continued coastal eutrophication and projected pCO_2 increases.

KEY WORDS: *Acropora cervicornis* · Nutrient enrichment · pCO_2 · Growth rate

—Resale or republication not permitted without written consent of the publisher—

INTRODUCTION

Global climate change scenarios predict a rather unpleasant future for coral reefs worldwide (Budde-meier 2001, Knowlton 2001, Guinotte et al. 2003, Hughes et al. 2003), and particularly in the Caribbean. Projected increases in coastal population density, mean atmospheric temperatures and frequency of extreme weather events (such as hurricanes) are expected to result in increased coastal runoff and nutrient import into the coastal zone (Landsea 2000a,b, Goldenberg et al. 2001, Houghton et al. 2002, Cohen 2003, Karl & Trenberth 2003). Coral reefs generally occur in waters characterized by low levels of inorganic nutrients (0.2 to 0.5 μM ammonium, 0.1 to 0.5 μM nitrate, and <0.3 μM phosphate, Furnas 1991), and significant increases in nutrient concentrations (>1 μM inorganic nitrogen, >0.3 μM phosphorus) have negative effects on coral growth rates (Hallock & Schlager 1986, Stambler et al. 1991, Ferrier-Pagès et al. 2000). A

significant decrease in calcification was observed in *Montastraea annularis* at nitrate concentrations of <5 μM (Tomascik & Sander 1985, Bell & Tomascik 1993, Marubini & Davies 1996). However, Dollar (1994) noted no effect, and Atkinson et al. (1995) observed increased growth in corals exposed to increased nitrate concentrations.

Significant decreases in coral growth in response to phosphorus enrichment have been observed (Kinsey & Davies 1979, Walker & Ormond 1982, Tomascik & Sander 1985). A 60% decrease in the growth rate of *Stylophora pistillata* was observed at a phosphorus concentration of 2 μM (Ferrier-Pagès et al. 2000). However, increased growth of *Pocillopora damicornis* at elevated phosphorus levels has also been reported (Stambler et al. 1991, Steven & Broadbent 1997). The greatest reduction in coral growth rate is observed in the combined presence of nitrogen and phosphorus. At nutrient concentrations of 20 μM ammonium and 2 μM phosphorus, a 50% reduction in calcification rate

*Email: drenegar@nova.edu

was observed for a patch reef over a period of 6 mo, and a 75 % decrease in the growth rate of *S. pistillata* was observed after 10 wk (Kinsey & Davies 1979, Ferrier-Pagès et al. 2000).

Increasing atmospheric CO₂ partial pressure (pCO₂) is likely to change ocean surface water carbonate saturation, and is predicted to result in reduced coral calcification and reef growth (Kleypas et al. 1999, Leclercq et al. 2000, Guinotte et al. 2003). Reduction of calcification from lowered pH and CO₃²⁻ has been observed to be greater than the reduction from nutrient enrichment and is expected to produce weaker skeletons, reduced extension rates, and increased erosion (Kleypas et al. 1999, Marubini & Atkinson 1999). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change carbon cycle models forecast that atmospheric pCO₂ concentrations will reach 540 to 970 µatm (90 to 250 % above the concentration in 1750) by the year 2100 (Houghton et al. 2002).

Thus, the trend of increasing coastal nutrification (increased nutrient supply) and rising atmospheric (and eventually ocean) pCO₂ requires investigation to predict the combined effects on coral condition and reef growth. The possible effects of these factors have been experimentally tested on some ecologically important Indo-Pacific corals, such as *Stylophora pistillata* and *Pocillopora damicornis* (Stambler et al. 1991, Ferrier-Pagès et al. 2000), but equivalent experiments investigating similar responses in Caribbean corals are sparse.

The scleractinian coral *Acropora cervicornis* is among the most important reef-builders in the Caribbean, with growth rates estimated at up to 71 mm yr⁻¹ or higher (Gladfelter et al. 1978). In addition to its modern importance as a reef-builder, this species is also very often a major component of Pleistocene and Holocene reef deposits, indicating that the current decline of this species may be a unique event due to anthropogenic effects (Jackson 1992, Aronson et al. 1998, Greenstein et al. 1998, but see Miller et al. 2002). In 1999, *A. cervicornis* was added as a candidate to the List of Endangered and Threatened Species under the Endangered Species Act (Diaz-Soltero 1999). Learning more about the effects of a changing global climate and increasing coastal nutrient enrichment on a formerly dominant reef-builder is likely to provide more insight into the reasons for this decline and could aid in the management of the species.

In this study, the growth rate of *Acropora cervicornis* fragments was measured during and after exposure to elevated nitrogen, phosphate, and pCO₂ in order to examine (1) whether the growth rate is affected in the presence of increased nutrients and/or pCO₂ and (2) the recovery potential of this species after nutrient and CO₂ stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Coral specimens. Coral 'nubbins' (192) (branch tips, approximately 5 cm long) were harvested from 2 populations of *Acropora cervicornis* found off Broward County, Florida, USA, designated Site A (located at 26° 10' 01" N, 80° 05' 23" W; referred to as Oakland I in Vargas-Ángel et al. 2003) and Site B (located at 26° 09' 12" N, 80° 05' 32" W; referred to as Coral Ridge in Vargas-Ángel et al. 2003). Nubbins were collected from haphazardly selected colonies, attached in an upright position to epoxy disks using cyanoacrylate gel glue and placed in a holding aquarium. The nubbins were then transferred to the treatment tanks after recovery from transport and handling.

Experimental set-up. Experiments were carried out using 16 separate (8 l) closed system aquaria. All tanks were maintained under the same temperature, salinity, aeration, circulation and light conditions. Aquarium water consisted of reverse osmosis/deionized water, mixed with a commercial synthetic sea salt (Tropic Marin®) of known composition in an approximate 1:1 combination with filtered natural seawater. Water circulation and filtration were provided by Aquaclear Mini™ power filters, and weekly 25 % water changes were performed to ensure consistent water quality. Gas exchange was facilitated by airstones. Light was provided by metal halide lamps (2 × 175 W, photoperiod 12:12 h). Irradiance was not measured. Nitrate, phosphate, CO₂, pH, total alkalinity (TA), temperature, and salinity levels were tested 3 times per week (Table 1). Salinity as determined by the refractive index method averaged 35.6 (±0.5) ppt. Temperature as measured with an Orion Research Model SA230 pH/mV/°C meter averaged 25.3 (±0.4)°C. Ammonia and nitrite measurements were made using Lamotte Scientific test kits, and remained below detection limits for the duration of the experiment.

Eight treatment conditions were maintained: control (C); nitrate enrichment only (N); phosphate enrichment only (P); nitrate and phosphate enrichment (N-P); CO₂ enrichment only (CO₂); CO₂ and nitrate enrichment (N-CO₂); CO₂ and phosphate enrichment (P-CO₂); and CO₂, nitrate, and phosphate enrichment (N-P-CO₂). The length of the incubation time was 16 wk, divided into 4 equal periods.

Period 1: The growth rate of each nubbin was measured weekly to establish a pre-treatment baseline. Repeated measures ANOVA of the growth rates of the nubbins in the 16 tanks during this control period determined that there was no significant difference between subsamples from the same colony in different tanks ($p > 0.01$). Therefore, observed trends were considered to be the effect of the treatments and not tank effects.

Table 1. Mean water quality parameters (\pm SD) for each treatment; SWS: sea water scale

Treatment	Parameter	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4
Control	Nitrate (μ M)	0.44 (\pm 0.08)	0.54 (\pm 0.10)	0.70 (\pm 0.07)	0.23 (\pm 0.04)
	Phosphate (μ M)	0.06 (\pm 0.02)	0.08 (\pm 0.03)	0.08 (\pm 0.01)	0.08 (\pm 0.03)
	pCO ₂ (μ atm)	361 (\pm 13)	357 (\pm 17)	360 (\pm 22)	361 (\pm 14)
	pH _(SWS)	8.06 (\pm 0.02)	8.10 (\pm 0.03)	8.04 (\pm 0.05)	8.06 (\pm 0.02)
	TA (meq l ⁻¹)	2.14 (\pm 0.08)	2.09 (\pm 0.05)	2.11 (\pm 0.08)	2.14 (\pm 0.06)
N	Nitrate (μ M)	0.41 (\pm 0.06)	5.13 (\pm 0.12)	9.93 (\pm 0.14)	0.20 (\pm 0.02)
	Phosphate (μ M)	0.03 (\pm 0.02)	0.07 (\pm 0.02)	0.07 (\pm 0.02)	0.10 (\pm 0.01)
	pCO ₂ (μ atm)	366 (\pm 17)	363 (\pm 17)	367 (\pm 19)	366 (\pm 18)
	pH _(SWS)	8.05 (\pm 0.03)	8.04 (\pm 0.02)	8.05 (\pm 0.01)	8.06 (\pm 0.04)
	TA (meq l ⁻¹)	2.10 (\pm 0.05)	2.12 (\pm 0.06)	2.17 (\pm 0.09)	2.16 (\pm 0.03)
P	Nitrate (μ M)	0.41 (\pm 0.08)	0.45 (\pm 0.10)	0.73 (\pm 0.13)	0.18 (\pm 0.02)
	Phosphate (μ M)	0.05 (\pm 0.02)	2.15 (\pm 0.07)	4.14 (\pm 0.16)	0.08 (\pm 0.03)
	pCO ₂ (μ atm)	357 (\pm 23)	362 (\pm 11)	362 (\pm 14)	362 (\pm 18)
	pH _(SWS)	8.06 (\pm 0.02)	8.09 (\pm 0.01)	8.05 (\pm 0.01)	8.07 (\pm 0.01)
	TA (meq l ⁻¹)	2.11 (\pm 0.05)	2.14 (\pm 0.06)	2.16 (\pm 0.05)	2.13 (\pm 0.05)
N-P	Nitrate (μ M)	0.40 (\pm 0.05)	4.98 (\pm 0.11)	10.01 (\pm 0.14)	0.19 (\pm 0.04)
	Phosphate (μ M)	0.02 (\pm 0.01)	2.09 (\pm 0.10)	4.06 (\pm 0.10)	0.09 (\pm 0.01)
	pCO ₂ (μ atm)	358 (\pm 16)	373 (\pm 13)	363 (\pm 15)	373 (\pm 12)
	pH _(SWS)	8.06 (\pm 0.03)	8.04 (\pm 0.02)	8.04 (\pm 0.01)	8.05 (\pm 0.02)
	TA (meq l ⁻¹)	2.09 (\pm 0.07)	2.11 (\pm 0.07)	2.10 (\pm 0.08)	2.11 (\pm 0.03)
CO ₂	Nitrate (μ M)	0.53 (\pm 0.09)	0.52 (\pm 0.10)	0.56 (\pm 0.11)	0.22 (\pm 0.05)
	Phosphate (μ M)	0.04 (\pm 0.01)	0.07 (\pm 0.02)	0.10 (\pm 0.03)	0.11 (\pm 0.05)
	pCO ₂ (μ atm)	367 (\pm 13)	714 (\pm 85)	771 (\pm 78)	365 (\pm 11)
	pH _(SWS)	8.03 (\pm 0.01)	7.72 (\pm 0.07)	7.66 (\pm 0.07)	8.05 (\pm 0.03)
	TA (meq l ⁻¹)	2.15 (\pm 0.05)	1.72 (\pm 0.09)	1.70 (\pm 0.03)	2.13 (\pm 0.05)
N-CO ₂	Nitrate (μ M)	0.45 (\pm 0.02)	5.11 (\pm 0.15)	10.08 (\pm 0.10)	0.19 (\pm 0.04)
	Phosphate (μ M)	0.04 (\pm 0.02)	0.05 (\pm 0.02)	0.08 (\pm 0.03)	0.09 (\pm 0.01)
	pCO ₂ (μ atm)	363 (\pm 18)	714 (\pm 85)	768 (\pm 45)	366 (\pm 13)
	pH _(SWS)	8.02 (\pm 0.02)	7.70 (\pm 0.06)	7.67 (\pm 0.07)	8.06 (\pm 0.04)
	TA (meq l ⁻¹)	2.11 (\pm 0.05)	1.73 (\pm 0.07)	1.70 (\pm 0.04)	2.15 (\pm 0.06)
P-CO ₂	Nitrate (μ M)	0.46 (\pm 0.08)	0.51 (\pm 0.09)	0.57 (\pm 0.12)	0.20 (\pm 0.03)
	Phosphate (μ M)	0.01 (\pm 0.01)	2.13 (\pm 0.10)	4.17 (\pm 0.05)	0.08 (\pm 0.03)
	pCO ₂ (μ atm)	363 (\pm 16)	728 (\pm 80)	764 (\pm 51)	359 (\pm 11)
	pH _(SWS)	8.05 (\pm 0.03)	7.73 (\pm 0.07)	7.70 (\pm 0.08)	8.01 (\pm 0.02)
	TA (meq l ⁻¹)	2.12 (\pm 0.07)	1.75 (\pm 0.11)	1.72 (\pm 0.05)	2.11 (\pm 0.03)
N-P-CO ₂	Nitrate (μ M)	0.47 (\pm 0.13)	5.08 (\pm 0.06)	9.88 (\pm 0.16)	0.21 (\pm 0.03)
	Phosphate (μ M)	0.02 (\pm 0.01)	2.19 (\pm 0.07)	4.35 (\pm 0.04)	0.20 (\pm 0.09)
	pCO ₂ (μ atm)	357 (\pm 31)	722 (\pm 87)	766 (\pm 66)	352 (\pm 10)
	pH _(SWS)	8.00 (\pm 0.04)	7.70 (\pm 0.07)	7.69 (\pm 0.05)	8.07 (\pm 0.03)
	TA (meq l ⁻¹)	2.12 (\pm 0.06)	1.74 (\pm 0.08)	1.71 (\pm 0.02)	2.12 (\pm 0.04)

Period 2: Nutrient concentrations were raised to 5 μ M NO₃⁻ and 2 μ M P-PO₄³⁻, and the pCO₂ level was increased to ~700 to 800 μ atm in the appropriate tanks.

Period 3: Nutrient concentrations were raised to 10 μ M NO₃⁻ and 4 μ M P-PO₄³⁻. The pCO₂ level was maintained at the same level as the previous period.

Period 4: The conditions in the 16 treatment aquaria were returned to the conditions of Period 1 in order to assess the recovery potential of the coral nubbins after exposure to nutrient and pCO₂ stress.

Nutrient enrichment. Elevated nutrient concentrations were achieved by the addition of concentrated solutions of KNO₃ and KH₂PO₄ to the appropriate tanks. Nitrate concentration was determined with a Technicon Autoanalyzer II utilizing the method de-

scribed in Armstrong et al. (1967). Phosphate concentration was determined with an Ocean Optics CHEM2000 Miniature Fiber Optic Spectrophotometer utilizing the method described in Parsons et al. (1984).

pCO₂ enrichment. Elevated pCO₂ concentrations were achieved by controlled low-pressure injection of pure CO₂ into the airstreams used to aerate the appropriate tanks (modeled on the method of Leclercq et al. 2000). Although the pCO₂ of the air was not measured, multiple daily pH and TA measurements were made to monitor seawater pCO₂ until the tanks equilibrated (a period of several days), with appropriate adjustments made to the CO₂ injection level, until the pCO₂ level stabilized between 700 to 800 μ atm. pCO₂ and pH_(SWS)

(SWS: sea water scale) were determined from $\text{pH}_{(\text{NBS})}$ and TA measurements using the program developed for CO_2 System Calculations by Lewis & Wallace (1998). TA and $\text{pH}_{(\text{NBS})}$ were determined by potentiometric titration (electrode calibrated with NBS standard buffers of pH 2, 7 and 9) using the USGS Alkalinity Calculator, version 2.10Tk (Almgren & Fonselius 1976, S. Rounds 2003¹). TA decreased over time in the pCO_2 treatment tanks, unlike in other experiments utilizing CO_2 to manipulate pH where TA remained constant. This may be an artifact of the closed system design, as a decrease in TA of ~ 0.5 over 24 h was observed by Leclercq et al. (2000) in a coral community exposed to increased pCO_2 without continuous seawater input. Leclercq et al. (2000) reported that this had no effect on the rate of community calcification.

Growth rate determination. The buoyant weight of each nubbin was measured approximately once weekly according to the method outlined in Davies (1989) to examine growth rate in response to the treatments. This method is simple, places minimal stress on the coral, and has been used experimentally by several authors (Davies 1984, Dodge et al. 1984, Edmunds & Davies 1986).

Data analysis. In order to satisfy parametric statistics requirements of homoscedasticity and normality, the growth rates were first normalized to the weight of each nubbin at the beginning of each growth period (Ferrier-Pagès et al. 2000). The normalized growth rate values were then transformed with the logarithmic transformation [$G' = \log(G \times 100\,000)$] following the recommendation of Sokal & Rohlf (1995). ANOVA was then used to compare the normalized and transformed growth rates between each treatment and treatment period. As the growth of each nubbin was measured under different experimental conditions, repeated-measures ANOVA was carried out using the GLM (General Linear Models) module of STATISTICA 6.0. The null hypothesis was that growth rates remained constant as a function of time.

RESULTS

The effects of nutrient and pCO_2 enrichment are presented in Fig. 1 and Table 2, as mean normalized growth rates (mg d^{-1}) for each treatment over the 4 treatment periods, and (in Table 2) as the percentage change compared to both the previous period and to Period 1. Newman-Keuls post-hoc analysis was used to determine statistical similarity between periods for

each treatment and between treatments for each period (indicated by the letter assigned to each treatment mean, Fig. 1). During Period 1, the mean normalized growth rate in all of the treatment tanks remained nearly constant or increased slightly (overall mean growth rate of 1.492 mg d^{-1}), with no significant difference in growth rates between treatments. The growth rate in the C (control) treatment tanks remained statistically similar between all periods. Percent mortality for each treatment compared to Period 1 is shown in Table 2. An indeterminate percentage of this mortality was likely a consequence of residual collection and confinement stress. However, as there was no significant difference in mortality between treatments during Period 1, or between periods in the C (control) treatment tanks ($p > 0.05$), mortality in Periods 2 to 4 was considered to be a result of the treatments.

Effect of nutrient enrichment

The N or P treatments did not result in a significant decrease in growth rate at low concentrations during Period 2 ($p = 0.54$, $p = 0.08$). However, the growth rate at high nutrient concentrations during Period 3 was significantly less than in Periods 1 and 2 ($p < 0.05$). These observations are similar to reports by others for increased nitrate and phosphate levels (Kinsey & Davies 1979, Walker & Ormond 1982, Tomascik & Sander 1985, Bell & Tomascik 1993, Marubini & Davies 1996, Ferrier-Pagès et al. 2000). There was no significant difference in growth rate between the N or P treatments during either enrichment period. The effect of nitrate and phosphate combined on the growth rate of *Acropora cervicornis* appeared additive at low concentrations. During Period 2, the N-P treatment resulted in a significant 55% decrease in growth rate ($p < 0.05$), while the added percent decrease in growth rate for the separate N and P treatments was 53%. The growth rate during Period 3 for this treatment was significantly less than in Periods 1 and 2 ($p < 0.05$), and the high nutrient concentrations appeared to produce an antagonistic combined effect; the N-P treatment resulted in a 61% decrease in growth rate, and the added percent decrease in growth rate for the separate N and P treatments was 116% (Table 1). Similar findings were obtained by Kinsey & Davies (1979) and Ferrier-Pagès et al. (2000) for *Stylophora pistillata*.

Effect of pCO_2 enrichment

The effect of pCO_2 enrichment on the growth rate of *Acropora cervicornis* was greater than that of nutrient enrichment. During Period 2, growth rates of all the

¹Alkalinity calculator, Version 2.10Tk. <http://oregon.usgs.gov/alk/>




Fig. 1. *Acropora cervicornis*. Mean normalized treatment growth rates (\pm SD) for each period. Letters assigned to each treatment indicate statistically similar growth rates based on Newman-Keuls post-hoc probabilities ($p < 0.05$)

CO₂ treatments were significantly less than the nutrient-only treatments, and all of the pCO₂ treatments caused significant decreases in growth rate compared to Period 1 ($p < 0.05$). During Period 3, the N-CO₂ and P-CO₂ treatment growth rates were significantly less than in Periods 1 and 2 ($p < 0.05$), and the CO₂ and N-P-CO₂ treatment growth rates were significantly less than in Period 1 ($p < 0.05$) but were not significantly different from Period 2 ($p = 0.37$, $p = 0.08$). These findings are in agreement with previous studies, where the rate of calcification decreased immediately after an increase in pCO₂, with no acclimation (Marubini & Atkinson 1999, Langdon et al. 2000, Reynaud et al. 2003).

There was no significant difference between the growth rates of the CO₂, N-CO₂, and P-CO₂ treatments during either enrichment period (Fig. 1). Only when CO₂ was combined with nitrate and phosphate was there a significant difference in growth rate among the pCO₂ treatments; the growth rate of the N-P-CO₂ treated corals was significantly less than all other treatments ($p < 0.05$) during both enrichment periods. Unlike the nutrient-only treatments, the effect of combined nitrate, phosphate, and pCO₂ appeared to be antagonistic at low nutrient concentrations and additive at high concentrations (compared to those nutrients paired with CO₂ separately). The 100% mortality of the N-P-CO₂-treated corals indicates the severe stress this combination induces (Table 2).

Recovery

Growth rate recovery potential after transient increases in pCO₂, nitrate, and phosphate was assessed in Period 4 (Fig. 1). Only the N treatment growth rate recovered enough to be statistically similar to the growth rate observed during Period 1 ($p = 0.06$). The recovery of the N-P-CO₂ treatment corals could not be determined, as none of these corals survived to the end of Period 4.

DISCUSSION

This study clearly demonstrates that elevated levels of nitrate, phosphate, and pCO₂ affect the growth rate of *Acropora cervicornis*, and thus adds to the body of literature that provides evidence of detrimental effects on corals of increased pCO₂ alone or in combination with other factors (Gattuso et al. 1999, Ohde & van Woessik 1999, Leclercq et al. 2000, 2002, Langdon et al. 2003, Marubini et al. 2003, Reynaud et al. 2003).

The implications of increasing pCO₂ levels and continued coastal nutrification are many, and occur on multiple levels, in addition to observed decreases in

Table 2. *Acropora cervicornis*. Mean period normalized growth rates (\pm SD), percentage changes in growth rate and percent mortality

Treatment	Growth rate (mg d ⁻¹)			
	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Period 4
Control	1.418 (\pm 0.744)	2.092 (\pm 0.497)	1.887 (\pm 0.398)	1.818 (\pm 0.395)
N	1.602 (\pm 0.931)	1.347 (\pm 0.787)	0.557 (\pm 0.371)	0.820 (\pm 0.353)
P	1.635 (\pm 0.874)	1.037 (\pm 0.643)	0.451 (\pm 0.243)	0.665 (\pm 0.206)
N-P	1.545 (\pm 1.038)	0.694 (\pm 0.497)	0.267 (\pm 0.094)	0.497 (\pm 0.176)
CO ₂	1.281 (\pm 0.716)	0.432 (\pm 0.175)	0.300 (\pm 0.123)	0.494 (\pm 0.198)
N-CO ₂	1.606 (\pm 0.973)	0.504 (\pm 0.356)	0.218 (\pm 0.115)	0.297 (\pm 0.138)
P-CO ₂	1.405 (\pm 0.846)	0.359 (\pm 0.287)	0.162 (\pm 0.076)	0.220 (\pm 0.062)
N-P-CO ₂	1.445 (\pm 0.938)	0.139 (\pm 0.107)	0.015 (\pm 0.011)	0.032 (\pm 0.026)
Percent of previous period				
Control		148	90	96
N		84	41	147
P		63	43	148
N-P		45	39	186
CO ₂		34	69	165
N-CO ₂		31	43	136
P-CO ₂		26	45	135
N-P-CO ₂		10	11	211
Percent of Period 1				
Control		148	133	128
N		84	35	51
P		63	28	41
N-P		45	17	32
CO ₂		34	23	39
N-CO ₂		31	14	19
P-CO ₂		26	12	16
N-P-CO ₂		10	1	2
Percent mortality (compared to Period 1)				
Control		9	13	13
N		26	43	47
P		25	58	66
N-P		39	95	95
CO ₂		59	81	95
N-CO ₂		43	60	60
P-CO ₂		37	75	79
N-P-CO ₂		69	95	100

growth rate. Although population declines of *Acropora cervicornis* (and *A. palmata*) have been blamed mainly on coral diseases, local eutrophication may have played a role by increasing coral stress, resulting in increased disease susceptibility and severity (Aronson & Precht 1997, Aronson et al. 1998, Porter et al. 2001, Patterson et al. 2002, Bruno et al. 2003). Nutrient enrichment is typically regarded as having relatively local or regional effects; however, nutrient enrichment in general, and nitrate enrichment in particular, has been observed to reduce coral fecundity, fertilization success, normal embryo development, and settlement success of coral larvae (Hunte & Wittenburg 1992). This aspect of the consequences of nutrification may cause dependency on asexual modes of reproduction, effectively reducing the genetic variability of the coral population within the affected area. While this may

also seem to be a localized effect, it has the potential to reduce the genetic diversity of scleractinian corals as a whole. A decrease in the size of the genetic pool may lead to a significant reduction in the ability of the coral population to adapt to global environmental change, particularly increasing atmospheric pCO₂.

While it is not appropriate to discount the effects of increased nutrient concentrations on corals, this study indicates that elevated pCO₂ had the most negative effect on growth rate, an effect that was exacerbated in the presence of increased nutrient levels. This result is supported by the literature which generally concurs in attributing negative effects on coral growth rate in response to increased CO₂ levels, but which are far less clear on the effects of nutrients (Gattuso et al. 1999, Ohde & van Woesik 1999, Leclercq et al. 2000, 2002, Langdon et al. 2003, Marubini et al. 2003, Rey-

naud et al. 2003). The worst case climate change models of the IPCC estimate that the ocean surface waters will remain almost entirely supersaturated with calcium carbonate, but the decrease in aragonite saturation state could result in a competitive advantage for non-calcifying reef organisms (i.e. fleshy algae) or calcite secretors, further disadvantaging scleractinian coral populations (Kleypas et al. 1999). Our results indicate a significant interaction between nutrients and pCO₂. This may result in greater impacts in populated coastal areas where eutrophication is of increased concern. As reefs off large population centers generally represent a significant tourist draw, their decline stands to have a marked impact on the local and/or regional economy. Another element to be considered is the interaction between pCO₂ and temperature. Under increased pCO₂, significant decreases in calcification have been observed at high temperatures (ca. 28.2°C) but not at normal temperatures (ca. 25.2°C), in cultured *Stylophora pistillata* (Reynaud et al. 2003). This result is not in agreement with our study; while temperature was not directly manipulated, it remained within the 'normal' range over the course of the experiment. The diversity of coral response to increased pCO₂ and the variety of methods used to manipulate pCO₂ found in the literature underlines the need for continued experimentation with multiple species.

As studies clearly demonstrating increased nutrient levels on coral reefs are lacking, this makes the real-life value of the nutrient-enrichment experiments in this study (and indeed of many experiments in the literature) somewhat uncertain. However, this study, at the very least, quantitatively supports what has been reported elsewhere in a more generalized way, namely that increasing atmospheric pCO₂ levels will almost certainly have deleterious effects on reef corals (Kleypas et al. 1999, Langdon et al. 2000, Guinotte et al. 2003). Thus, if these results accurately predict coral response in the natural environment, it is reasonable to speculate that the survival and reef-building potential of this species will be significantly negatively impacted by continued coastal nutrient enrichment and projected pCO₂ increases. In terms of recovery potential, our study indicates that *Acropora cervicornis* populations may never return to their previous state. Although this species may be well-adapted to surviving natural change, it is possible that anthropogenic environmental changes have occurred too quickly and may have overcome their homeostatic capacity, thus effectively reducing or eliminating the reef-building capability of this species.

We believe that this experiment is the first to examine the interaction between a local/regional scale factor (nutrients) and a global factor (CO₂). Future experimentation should continue to focus on the interacting effects of nutrients, CO₂, and temperature on coral

condition and growth, employing histopathology and molecular techniques to expand our understanding of these influences to the tissue and cellular levels.

Acknowledgements. This study formed part of D.A.R.'s MSc thesis at Nova Southeastern University (NSU) and this publication was supported by NOAA grant NA16OA1443 to National Coral Reef Institute (NCRI) and NSU Faculty Research and Development Grant 35369. This is NCRI contribution #56. The authors thank C. Burney, P. Blackwelder and B. Vargas-Ángel for help and advice throughout the project, and the anonymous reviewers, whose comments have helped to greatly improve this manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Almgren T, Fonselius SH (1976) Determination of alkalinity and total carbonate. In: Grasshoff K (ed) *Methods of seawater analysis*. Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, p 97–115
- Armstrong FAJ, Stearns CR, Strickland JDH (1967) The measurement of upwelling and subsequent biological processes by means of the Technicon Autoanalyzer and associated equipment. *Deep-Sea Res* 14:381–389
- Aronson RB, Precht WF (1997) Stasis, biological disturbance, and community structure of a Holocene coral reef. *Paleobiology* 23:326–346
- Aronson RB, Precht WF, Macintyre IG (1998) Extrinsic control of species replacement on a Holocene reef in Belize: the role of coral disease. *Coral Reefs* 17:223–230
- Atkinson MJ, Carlson B, Crow GL (1995) Coral growth in high-nutrient, low pH seawater: a case study of corals cultured in the Waikiki Aquarium, Honolulu, HI. *Coral Reefs* 14:215–223
- Bell PRF, Tomascik T (1993) The demise of the fringing corals reefs of Barbados and of regions in the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) lagoon—impacts of eutrophication. In: Ginsburg RN (ed) *Proceedings of the colloquium on global aspects of coral reefs: health, hazards and history*. Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, FL, p 319–325
- Bruno JF, Petes LE, Harvell CD, Hettinger A (2003) Nutrient enrichment can increase the severity of coral diseases. *Ecol Lett* 6(12):1056–1061
- Buddemeier RW (2001) Is it time to give up? *Bull Mar Sci* 69(2):317–326
- Cohen JE (2003) Human population: the next half-century. *Science* 302:1172–1175
- Davies PS (1984) The role of zooxanthellae in the nutritional energy requirements of *Pocillopora eydouxi*. *Coral Reefs* 2:181–186
- Davies PS (1989) Short-term growth measurements of corals using accurate buoyant weighing technique. *Mar Biol* 101(3):389–395
- Diaz-Soltero H (1999) Endangered and threatened species; revision of candidate species list under the Endangered Species Act. *Fed Register* 64(210):33 466–33 468
- Dodge RE, Wyers SC, Frith HR, Knap AH, Smith SR, Cook CV, Sleeter RB (1984) Coral calcification rates by the buoyant weight technique: effects of alizarin staining. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 75:217–232
- Dollar SJ (1994) Sewage discharge on coral reefs: not always pollution. *Coral Reefs* 13:224
- Edmunds PJ, Davies PS (1986) An energy budget for *Porites porites* (Scleractinia). *Mar Biol* 92:339–347
- Ferrier-Pagès C, Gattuso JP, Dallot S, Jaubert J (2000) Effect

- of nutrient enrichment on growth and photosynthesis of the zooxanthellate coral *Stylophora pistillata*. *Coral Reefs* 19:103–113
- Furnas MJ (1991) Nutrient status and trends in waters of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. In: Yellowlees D (ed) Land uses, patterns and nutrient loadings of the Great Barrier Reef Region. James Cook University, Townsville, p 162–179
- Gattuso JP, Allemand D, Frankignoulle M (1999) Photosynthesis and calcification at cellular, organismal and community levels in coral reefs: a review on interactions and control by carbonate chemistry. *Am Zool* 39:160–183
- Gladfelter EH, Monahan RK, Gladfelter WB (1978) Growth rates of five reef-building corals in the northeastern Caribbean. *Bull Mar Sci* 28(4):728–734
- Goldenberg SB, Landsea CW, Mestaz-Nuñez AM, Gray WM (2001) The recent increase in hurricane activity: causes and implications. *Science* 293:474–479
- Greenstein BJ, Curran HA, Pandolfi JM (1998) Shifting ecological baselines and the demise of *Acropora cervicornis* in the western North Atlantic and Caribbean Province: a Pleistocene perspective. *Coral Reefs* 17:249–261
- Guinotte JM, Buddemeier RW, Kleypas JA (2003) Future coral reef habitat marginality: temporal and spatial effects of climate change in the Pacific basin. *Coral Reefs* 22(4): 551–558
- Hallock P, Schlager W (1986) Nutrient excess and the demise of coral reefs and carbonate platforms. *Palaos* 7:389–398
- Houghton JT, Ding Y, Griggs DJ, Noguer M, van der Linden PJ, Dai X, Maskell K, Johnson CA (2002) Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Hughes TP, Baird AH, Bellwood DR, Card M and 13 others (2003) Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs. *Science* 301:929–933
- Hunte W, Wittenburg M (1992) Effects of eutrophication on juvenile corals. *Mar Biol* 114:625–631
- Jackson JBC (1992) Pleistocene perspectives on coral reef community structure. *Am Zool* 32:719–721
- Karl TR, Trenberth KE (2003) Modern global climate change. *Science* 302:1719–1723
- Kinsey DW, Davies PJ (1979) Effect of elevated nitrogen and phosphorus on coral reef growth. *Limnol Oceanogr* 24: 935–940
- Kleypas JA, Buddemeier RW, Archer D, Gattuso JP, Langdon C, Opdyke B (1999) Geochemical consequences of increased CO₂ on coral reefs. *Science* 284:118–120
- Knowlton N (2001) The future of coral reefs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 98(10):5419–5425
- Landsea CW (2000a) Climate variability of tropical cyclones: past, present and future. In: Pielke RA Sr, Pielke RA Jr (eds) Storms. Routledge, New York, p 220–242
- Landsea CW (2000b) El-Niño Southern Oscillation and the seasonal predictability of tropical cyclones. In: Diaz HF, Markgraf V (eds) El Niño: multiscale variability and global regional impacts. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 149–182
- Langdon C, Takahashi T, Sweeney C, Chipman D and 5 others (2000) Effect of calcium carbonate saturation state on the rate of calcification of an experimental coral reef. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 14:639–654
- Langdon C, Broecker WS, Hammond DE, Glenn E and 5 others (2003) Effect of elevated CO₂ on the community metabolism of an experimental coral reef. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 17:1101–1114
- Leclercq N, Gattuso JP, Jaubert J (2000) CO₂ partial pressure controls the calcification rate of a coral community. *Glob Change Biol* 6:329–334
- Leclercq N, Gattuso JP, Jaubert J (2002) Primary production, respiration and calcification of a coral reef mesocosm under increased CO₂ partial pressure. *Limnol Oceanogr* 47:558–564
- Lewis E, Wallace DWR (1998) Program developed for CO₂ system calculations. ORNL/CDIAC-105. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, TN
- Marubini F, Atkinson MJ (1999) Effects of lowered pH and elevated nitrate on coral calcification. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 188:117–121
- Marubini F, Davies PS (1996) Nitrate increases zooxanthellate density and reduces skeletogenesis in corals. *Mar Biol* 127:319–328
- Marubini F, Ferrier-Pagès C, Cuif JP (2003) Suppression of growth in scleractinian corals by decreasing ambient carbonate ion concentration: a cross-family comparison. *Proc R Soc Lond B* 270:179–184
- Miller MW, Bourque AS, Bohnsack JA (2002) An analysis of the loss of acroporid corals at Looe Key, Florida, USA: 1983–2000. *Coral Reefs* 21:179–182
- Ohde S, van Woesik R (1999) Carbon dioxide flux and metabolic processes of a coral reef, Okinawa. *Bull Mar Sci* 65: 559–576
- Parsons TR, Maita Y, Lalli CM (1984) A manual of chemical and biological methods for seawater analysis. Pergamon, Toronto
- Patterson KL, Porter JW, Ritchie KB, Polson SW, Mueller E, Peters EC, Santavy DL, Smith GW (2002) The etiology of white pox, a lethal disease of the Caribbean elkhorn coral, *Acropora palmata*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99(13): 8725–8730
- Porter JW, Dustan P, Jaap WC, Patterson KL, Kosmynin V, Meier OW, Patterson ME, Parsons M (2001) Patterns of spread of coral disease in the Florida Keys. *Hydrobiologia* 460:1–24
- Reynaud S, Leclercq N, Romaine-Lioud S, Ferrier-Pagès C, Jaubert J, Gattuso JP (2003) Interacting effects of CO₂ partial pressure and temperature on photosynthesis and calcification in a scleractinian coral. *Glob Change Biol* 9:1–9
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ (1995) Biometry, 3rd edn. WH Freeman, San Francisco, CA
- Stambler N, Popper N, Dubinsky Z, Stimson J (1991) Effects of nutrient enrichment and water motion on the coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Pac Sci* 45:299–307
- Steven ADL, Broadbent AD (1997) Growth and metabolic responses of *Acropora prolifera* to long-term nutrient enrichment. *Proc 8th Intl Coral Reef Symp* 1:867–872
- Tomascik T, Sander F (1985) Effects of eutrophication on reef building corals. I. Effects of eutrophication on the reef building coral *Montastraea annularis*. *Mar Biol* 87: 143–155
- Vargas-Ángel B, Thomas JD, Hoke SM (2003) High latitude *Acropora cervicornis* thickets off Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA. *Coral Reefs* 22:465–473
- Walker DI, Ormond RFG (1982) Coral death and phosphate pollution in Aquaba, Red Sea. *Mar Pollut Bull* 13:21–25